

# **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio**

A New High Concentration (2.5%) Hyaluronic Acid (HA) Based Gel Treatment of Chronic Wounds glycobiosciences



Chronic wounds: widely considered to be stuck in the inflammatory phase

Part 1 of 3

Hyaluronic Acid (HA)

Is a mucopolysaccaride (complex sugar)

Part of therapeutics, food supplements, and cosmetics contain HA (eg. wrinkle creams)

Vital component of extracellular matrix in all vertebrates

The largest amount (50%) resides in the dermis and the epidermis of skin

HA turns over approximately every 12 hours in the skin

High molecular weight HA is synthesized in the cell membrane and excreted into the extracellular matrix

Broken down by Hyaluronidase in to the low molecular weight o-HA form

Meyer K, Palmer JW: <u>The polysaccharide of the vitreous humor</u>. J. Biol. Chem. **107**, 629-634, 1934.

J. Chen et al. / Wound Repair and Regeneration 89 (1999) 79-89



#### Hyaluronic Acid (HA)

Hyaluronic acid also known as sodium hylauronate is a mucopolysaccaride naturally found in healthy tissue as an integral component of the ECM. Extensive research over the past decade has demonstrated that HA is involved in tissue hydration and plays a significant bioactive role in all stages of healing.

Today there are several medical and cosmetic applications of HA including its use in:

- 1. Ophthalmic surgery (viscosurgery),
- 2. Anti aging cosmetic creams and injectables as fillers to diminish wrinkles
- 3. For intra-articular treatment of arthritis (viscosupplementation)
- 4. To control postsurgical adhesions and scar formation
- 5. Tissue repair and hydration for burns and radiation dermititis
- 6. And for the treatment of chronic wounds such as DFU, VLU and pressure ulcers
- 7. And in oral supplements

# High and Intermediate Molecular Weight HA Plays More of a Mechanical Functional Role in Skin

- HA has been called "natures moisturizer" attracting 3000 times its weight in water, expanding in volume up to 1000 times forming loose hydrated matrices (jello like)
  - Promotes tissue hydration and integrity
  - Creates a scaffolding helping facilitate cell migration and division.
- In the context of chronic wounds:
  - Moisturizing helps facilitate autolytic debridement
  - the HA forms a gel with the wound exudate.





Small molecules diffuse, where larger molecules are partially excluded

J. Chen et al. / Wound Repair and Regeneration 89 (1999) 79-89 I. Anderson The properties of hyaluronan and its role in wound healing The Nursing Times, December, 2001 J. Necas et al. Veterinarni Medicina, 53, 2008 (8): 397-411

#### Hyaluronan oligosaccharides promote excisional wound healing through enhanced angiogenesis

Feng Gao <sup>a,\*</sup>, Yiwen Liu<sup>a</sup>, Yiqing He<sup>a</sup>, Cuixia Yang<sup>a</sup>, Yingzhi Wang<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoxing Shi<sup>b</sup>, Guo Wei<sup>c</sup>

\* Department of Molecular Biology, Shanghai Sixth People's Haspital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai Wujing General Hospital, PR China

<sup>6</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai South People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, PR China

b. HMW-HA vs. o-HA a. PBS vs. o-HA C. VEGF vs. o-HA Day 0 13-Day 6 ten 2 Day 8 (Bro

Accelerated healing of acute wounds

F.Gao et al./Matrix Biology 29 (2010) 107-116

Effects of exogenous o-HA on extracellular matrix mRNA expressions in wound tissues.

	Groups	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Day 8
eNOS	PBS	6.9±0.61▲▲	7.25±0.54 <sup>▲▲</sup>	$6.65 \pm 0.52$	$6.35 \pm 0.69$
	HMW-HA	6.1±0.26 <sup>▲</sup> *	6.01±0.38▲▲**	$5.74 \pm 0.48$	$6.29 \pm 0.44$
	VEGF	6.69±0.41▲▲	6.22±0.24 <sup>▲▲**</sup>	$6.79 \pm 0.83$	$6.69 \pm 0.11$
	o-HA	$5.10 \pm 0.28^{**}$	$4.86 \pm 0.21^{**}$	$6.10 \pm 0.12$	$5.94 \pm 0.42$
E-selectin	PBS	20.38±0.32 <sup>▲▲</sup>	19.58±1.16	$20.3 \pm 2.01$	$15.29 \pm 2.22$
	HMW-HA	19.96±0.55▲▲	$18.88 \pm 0.55$	$20.75 \pm 2.41$	$15.74 \pm 1.42$
	VEGF	$18.29 \pm 0.1^{**}$	$18.26 \pm 1.45$	$18.58 \pm 1.25$	$15.12 \pm 1.33$
	o-HA	$17.71 \pm 0.68^{**}$	$18.15 \pm 1.59$	$20.37 \pm 1.54$	$15.37 \pm 2.43$
Integrin-β3	PBS	17.5 ±0.12 <sup>▲▲</sup>	14.26±0.72 <sup>▲</sup>	$17.22 \pm 0.78$	$16.69 \pm 1.05$
	HMW-HA	17.19±0.22▲▲	14.67±0.03 <sup>▲</sup>	$16.68 \pm 0.64$	$16.53 \pm 0.83$
	VEGF	$15.41 \pm 0.17^{**}$	$12.75 \pm 0.51^*$	$16.42 \pm 1.00$	$16.34 \pm 0.98$
	o-HA	$15.58 \pm 0.73^{**}$	$12.74 \pm 0.86^{*}$	$16.73 \pm 0.65$	$16.46 \pm 1.00$
Procollagen-1	PBS	5.95 ±0.21▲▲	(-0.26)±0.78▲	$(-1.87) \pm 0.19$	$(-0.83)\pm0.48$
	HMW-HA	4.13 ±0.61**▲▲	$(-2.96)\pm0.91^*$	$(-1.58) \pm 0.55$	$(-0.35)\pm0.10$
	VEGF	$2.89 \pm 0.34^{**}$	$(-2.94)\pm0.71^*$	$(-2.59) \pm 0.55$	$(-1.58)\pm0.79$
	o-HA	$3.18 \pm 0.26^{**}$	$(-3.67)\pm0.59^*$	$(-2.99) \pm 0.42$	$(-1.72)\pm0.86$
Procollagen-3	PBS	$(-0.74)\pm0.13$	$(-1.11)\pm 0.28^{\blacktriangle}$	(-3.49)±0.58▲▲	$(-4.56)\pm0.55$
	HMW-HA	$(-1.11)\pm0.52$	$(-2.79)\pm0.21^{**}$	$(-4.71) \pm 0.11^{**}$	$(-4.05)\pm0.48$
	VEGF	$(-1.43)\pm0.14^*$	$(-1.84)\pm0.61^*$	$(-4.16) \pm 0.57$	$(-3.79)\pm0.33$
	o-HA	$(-0.44) \pm 0.40$	$(-2.05)\pm0.23^{**}$	$(-4.78) \pm 0.23^{**}$	$(-3.70)\pm0.58$
MMP-9	PBS	$9.33 \pm 0.42^{\bullet}$	6.19±0.42▲▲	$6.21 \pm 0.85$	$5.79 \pm 0.26^{-1}$
	H		- 0.40 · 0.50Å	5 54 · 0.00	$-0.10^{*}$
)	Moderates	s inflammation by	y inhibiting the pr	oduction of MMP:	$S^{.12\pm0.20^{**}}_{.34\pm0.01^{*}}$
MMP-13	PBS	7.76 ±0.90	7.73±0.98▲▲	5.84±0.11 <sup>▲</sup>	6.07±1.15
	HMW-HA	8.86±0.50 <sup>▲▲</sup>	9.07±0.65▲▲	$7.74 \pm 0.12^{**}$	$11.3 \pm 0.28^{**}$
	VEGF	9.52 ±0.90*▲▲	9.69±0.24*▲	$8.42 \pm 0.44^{*}$	$9.87 \pm 0.47^{**}$
	o-HA	12.86±1.12**	$11.42 \pm 0.96^{**}$	$9.67 \pm 0.42^{*}$	9.70±1.43**

Data are means  $\pm$  SD. Delta Ct is evaluated from various genes between GAPDH. The One-Way ANOVA test is used to compare the mRNA expression of different groups on each time point. Compared with PBS, \*P<0.05. \*\*P<0.01. Compared with o-HA, \*P<0.05.

# Angiogenesis



- 250 μm

F.Gao et al./Matrix Biology 29 (2010) 107-116

# Lymphangiogenesis



- 250 μm

F.Gao et al./Matrix Biology 29 (2010) 107-116



Fig. 6. o-HA stimulates fibroblasts proliferation in wound area of mice. α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) immunostaining of sections was performed to detect fibroblasts (arrowed, brown area). The groups are classified by times (days 2, 4, 6 and 8), and each treatment (o-HA, VEGP, HMW-HA and control) was the representative of three mice at each time point. The arrows indicate the positive stain of the fibroblasts. Scale bar is 125 µm and magnification is 20×.



Fig. 5. o-HA accelerates wound area granulation tissue deposition. The arrows and areas within dash lines show the histological evidence of collagen deposition along wounding area. The wounds were harvested from three mice, and photomicrographs represent a typical one. All wounds were stained with Masson-Trichrome, and magnification is 10×. Bar: 250 µm.

# HA Biological Activity Plays a Role in All Stages of Wound Healing



Hyaluronidase breaks down HA into the Low Molecular Weight HA (o-HA) form and facilitates most of the Biological Activity:

- Moving wounds out of inflammation by <u>inhibiting the production of MMPs</u> <u>9 and 13</u>
- <u>Stimulating vessel formation angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis</u>
- Stimulating Fibroblast and Keratinocyte activity, proliferation and migration

F. Gao et al. / Matrix Biology 29 (2010) 107-116 J. Chen et al. / Wound Repair and Regeneration 89 (1999) 79-89

# Stages of healing con'd

Once inflammation begins to recede and Hyaluronidase metabolizes HA into smaller fractions that are more biologically active, the HA attracts fibroblasts to the wound site and provide a matrix to anchor granulation tissue during the proliferative phase of healing. These smaller fractions of HA also stimulate angiogenesis and epithelialization through its effect on MMP and keratinocytes respectively. During the last phase of wound healing, remodeling, HA plays a role in collagen deposition helping to restore the mechanical strength of the skin.

#### 7 Published Controlled Clinical Trials Support HA Effectiveness

Reference	Design (n)	Indication	Outcomes
Edmonds M, Foster A. Hyalofill: a new product for chronic wound management. <i>The Diabetic Foot</i> 2000; <b>3</b> :29-30	Open randomised parallel comparative study. 30 subjects HA plus standard of care Vs. Standard of care	Diabetic Foot Ulcers Sinuses and bone exposure	Statistically significant difference seen favoring HA group vs. control in healing rate at 12 weeks: $10/15$ ulcers healed in HA group vs. three/15 in control (p < 0.05).
Taddeucci P, Pianigiani E, Colletta V et al. An evaluation of Hyalofill-F plus compression bandaging in the treatment of chronic venous ulcers. <i>J</i> <i>Wound Care</i> 2004; <b>13</b> :202-204	Open parallel comparative study. HA Vs Paraffin Gauze 24 subjects: sequential assignment to treatment group	Venous leg ulcer >3 months	HA demonstrated a significant difference in surface area reduction HA: 8.1cm2 (33%) Control: 0.4cm2 (1.8%)
Ortonne JP. A controlled study of the activity of hyaluronic acid in the treatment of venous leg ulcers. <i>J Dermatol Treatment</i> 1996; 7: 75–81.	Randomized Comparative trial 50 subjects: HA vs. Dextranomer	Venous leg ulcer >3 months	Surface area (cm2) showed a statistically significant difference in reduction in favor of HA at the end of the 21- day treatment period ( $p < 0.05$ ). HA caused a significant reduction in the incidence and severity of edema ( $p < 0.001$ ) vs. no significant reduction in the SOC group. A significant decrease in the incidence and severity of oozing was seen in the HA group by day 14 ( $p < 0.001$ ). A significant decrease in the incidence and severity of oozing was not seen in the SOC until day 21 ( $p < 0.001$ ).
Mekkes JR, Nahuys M. Induction of granulation tissue formation in chronic wounds by hyaluronic acid. <i>Wounds 2001;</i> 13: 159–64.	Ten consecutive patients, Non-healing ulcer caused by venous insufficiency $(n = 8)$ or vasculitis $(n = 2)$ had one side of their wound treated with HA vs. IntraSite Gel in a randomized fashion.	Venous and vascular leg ulcers	Time to grafting was reduced by 29% with HA ( $p = 0.004$ ). Total time to healing was reduced by 31% with HA ( $p = 0.0003$ ).
Abbruzzese L et al. Effectiveness and safety of a novel gel dressing in the management of neuropathic leg ulcers in diabetic patients: a prospective double-blind randomized trial. <i>Int J Low Extrem</i> <i>Wounds 2009; 8:134–40.</i>	Prospective double-blind randomized trial HA Vs Inert gel; 30 diabetic subjects	Neuropathic leg ulcers	Ulcer area significantly reduced in the HA group over a 4- week period vs. control ( $p < 0.05$ ; -58.7% vs23.4%, respectively). Percentage of lesional area covered by granulation at 4 weeks was significantly higher in HA group than control (62.8 14.7% vs. 28.3 10.2%, $p < 0.01$ ).

#### 7 Published Controlled Clinical Trials Support HA Effectiveness

Reference	Design (n)	Indication	Outcomes
Humbert et al.	Prospective randomized	Venous or	Trial terminated early: no longer ethical to keep
Efficacy and safety of	multicentre double blind	mixed	patients on placebo
a gauze pad	controlled trial	arterial	At day 45, the percentage of ulcer surface reduction
containing	HA plus standard of care	venous	was significantly greater in the HA group $(73  4.6\%)$
Hyaluronic acid in	Vs. Placebo Standard of	origin	versus neutral vehicle group (46 $9.6\%$ ) (P = $0.011$ ).
treatment of leg	care	present for	The number of healed ulcers was significantly higher
ulcers of venous or		>2 months	in the HA group at day 45 (31.1% versus 9.3%
mixed origin: a	89 subjects		respectively) and day $60(37.8\% \text{ versus } 16.3\%)$
double-blind,			respectively; $P < 0.05$ ). At day 30, pain intensity based
randomized,	Patients suspected of		on visual analogue scale was significantly lower in the
controlled trial. Int	naving a local or		HA group (12.4 mm 2.6 versus 22.8 mm 3.8; $P = 10.026$ )
wouna Care 2012: 1-	systemic infection were		0.020).
10	excluded		
Dereure et al.	Prospective randomized	Venous or	Trial terminated early: no longer ethical to keep
Efficacy and safety of	multicentre double blind	mixed	patients on placebo.
hyaluronic acid	controlled trial	arterial	At day 45, the percentage of ulcer surface reduction
cream in treatment of	HA plus standard of care	venous	was significantly greater in the hyaluronic acid
leg ulcers:a double-	Vs. Placebo Standard of	origin	treatment group (39 6%) compared with the neutral
blind RCT Journal of	care	present for	vehicle (control) group (5 9%) (p=0.002). A similar
Wound Care vol 21,		>2 months	result was obtained at day 15, day 30 and day 60. From
March 2012 3:131-	101 subjects		day 0 to day 45, pain intensity (VA S) decreased by
139			mean 9.8 3.5mm in the hyaluronic acid group, but
	Patients suspected of		slightly increased by 0.8 3.2mm in the control group
	having a local or		(p=0.029). Burden of pain, as estimated by the area
	systemic infection were		under the curve of daily pain (from day 0 to day 60),
	excluded		(121.0, 20.7 mm2) then in the control energy (207.4
			$(121.9  20.7 \text{mm}^2)$ than in the control group (207.4
			52.9mm2, p=0.028).





#### **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio features**

- 2.5% HA 10x the concentration of other gels
- Ionic Polymer Matrix (IPM) Hydroxyethycellulose – Provides Sustained Release Delivery



HA in IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio is 600,000– 750,000 Daltons – similar intermediate molecular weight as the native HA, synthesized in treated, healing chronic wounds FDA, 510K IPM Wound Gel 2002

The maximum size of HA from pressure ulcers was compared before and after receiving standard wound care for 90 days. Maximum HA sizes increased in six of eight subjects analyzed (540,000 Da initially to 860,000 Da; p < 0.03 paired t-test).

### Proposed Mechanism of Action: How does IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio Kick-Start Healing?

#### Normally Healing Acute Wounds Chronic Stalled Wound (10 Healthy Volunteers) (10 Non-Healing Pressure Ulcers) High molecular weight HA cleaved by hyaluronidase increases bioactive o-HA Adding HA creates a levels facilitating healing 150 more acute like setting: increasing bioactive In normal healing acute wounds HA 130 o-HA levels, that inhibit levels rise. Hyaluronidse then cleaves MMPs, and facilitate the newly synthesized HA into 110 normal healing smaller more biologically active fragments facilitating healing in all 90 phases. 70 In contrast to normally healing wounds chronic stalled wounds exhibit lower 50 levels of HA and high levels of Hyalurinadase. Adding exogenous HA helps to create the biochemical 30 environment of a normally healing wound by increasing the levels of 10 bioactive fragments of HA inhibiting MMPs and progressing a wound onto granulation and thru all the phases of healing. Adapted from T. Dechert et al. Wound Rep Reg (2006) FDA, 510K IPM Wound Gel 2002 14 252-258 the Wound Healing Society

# IPM™ Wound Gel Bio: Accelerates Healing In Difficult To Treat Wounds



Prior to IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel

- Average duration of ulcer: 25 weeks
- Failed standard of care and advanced therapies

After IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel:

- 88% of wounds healed
- Median time healing: 8.2 weeks
- No discontinuations due to side effects

Included 50 ulcers of various etiology

FDA, 510K IPM Wound Gel 2002

# Sub-Analysis Once Weekly Administration

A sub analysis demonstrated comparable healing rates for ulcers treated once weekly versus daily. Over 80% of ulcers completed healed with once weekly application of IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel.

> Median healing time = 11.5 weeks Average healing time = 12 weeks

> > FDA, 510K IPM Wound Gel 2002

#### Longer wear times: Daily to Weekly Application

Effect of a once-weekly application of 2.5% sodium hyaluronate gel for healing chronic wounds (poster presented at CAWC 2013) Patricia Coutts RN, IIWCC, Laurie Goodman RN, BA, MHScN and R. Gary Sibbald BSc, MD, M.Ed, FRCPC(Med), FRCPC (Derm), MACP, FAAD, MAPWCA

# Level of evidence supporting HA effectiveness is extremely high

- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel clinical efficacy was demonstrated with a study by Dr. Reece et al in 50 difficult to treat ulcers. The mean duration of these non healing ulcers that were proven recalcitrant to the standard of care and advanced therapies was 25 weeks.
- 88% of ulcers healed with IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel treatment. The median time to healing was 8.2 weeks.
- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel was extremely well tolerated with no discontinuations due to adverse events.

Patients suspected of having a local or systemic infection were excluded from Dr. Ronald Reece study. HA is not strongly antimicrobial.

#### Why is HA not widely used to treat wounds?

Availability & Short Acting: <u>many applied daily</u> These Issues are now resolved with the introduction of IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio in Canada Up to once weekly application

## Leg Ulcer

## Day 0

# Day 33



#### Stasis Ulcer – 34 days

#### October 26, 2000 November 29, 2000



#### Rheumatoid Ulcer – 64 days

#### October 5, 2000

#### December 8, 2000



#### Diabetic ulcer – 66 days

#### November 10, 2000

# January 15, 2001



# 78% of Patients responded that the gel made their ulcer(s) feel better



Our study corroborates the findings of several large scale randomized controlled multicentred studies that HA not only accelerates healing but also improves patient comfort by alleviating pain and soothing sore ulcers upon application.

FDA, 510K IPM Wound Gel 2002

# IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio

#### A New High Concentration Hyaluronic Acid (HA) Based Gel Treatment of Chronic Wounds

- Chronic wounds are HA depleted relative to normal healing acute wounds
- HA plays a functional role in all phases of healing
  - High molecular weight HA contributes more physical functionality, like tissue hydration, and scaffolding allowing for cell migration, and hydration
  - Low molecular weight o-HA is highly effective in moderating inflammation, stimulating angiogenesis, and granulation tissue formation

- The level of evidence supporting the application of exogenous HA as a class is strong: 6 Randomized, Prospective, Placebo Controlled trials including Diabetic and Venous ulcers:
  - Increases in healing rates: wound size decreases, and increases in total patients healed
  - Reductions in pain

IPM™ Wound Gel demonstrated similar results in a study of 50 ulcers:

- 88% of ulcers fully healing including venous, diabetic, pressure and other types
- The average duration of ulcer prior to enrollment was 25 weeks
- There were no discontinuations due to adverse events
- Increase in patient comfort

IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio is a unique HA formulation within the class, providing a more sustained release of HA, increasing the concentration of both forms of HA, and allowing for daily to once/weekly application



#### •Applying IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio

•Part 3 of 3 Practical Considerations For Using IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio as a Tool for Treating Chronic Wounds

> Eric Degen PHD Biochemistry Medical Doctor



# General Treatment Guidance for Chronic Wounds and IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio

"Treat the whole patient, not the hole in the patient",

Dr. Gary Sibbald

- Manage the underlying cause as the priority and then focus on the wound itself
  - IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel has demonstrated effectiveness in conjunction with off–loading in diabetic foot ulcers, and compression for venous ulcers

Determine if the wound is healable or not

- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio should be used in healable wounds
- Treat non-healable maintenance wounds accordingly, not with IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio

Debridement considerations – removal of slough and necrotic tissue may decrease infection risk, and improve healing rates

- Choose the type of debridement that best suites the situation
- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio in addition to it's bioactivity, can help facilitate autolytic debridement as the hyaluronic acid is significantly hydrating and the water in the gel adds moisture

# **Practical Considerations**

- Primary Treatment for Dry to moderate exudative clean wounds
  - When initiating, apply IPM™ Wound Gel Bio 3 times/week for the first two weeks
  - If the wound is healing well at that point, dressing wear times can be extended as long as healing continues at the same rate
  - IPM™ Wound Gel Bio can help facilitate autolytic debridement as the hyaluronic acid is significantly hydrating and the water in the gel adds moisture
- Highly Exudative, and Infected Wounds Adjunctive Therapy
  - IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio is not antimicrobial, and should not be used as a primary treatment of infection
  - If a biofilm is confirmed or suspected, remove it by using a wound cleanser (for example iodine, PHMB, honey) or a more aggressive debridement technique before applying IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio
  - IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio can be used adjunctively with antimicrobial non-stick secondary dressings (for example silver, PHMB, or iodine impregnated dressings)
  - For more guidance on managing infection consult the DIME article: <u>Increased</u>
    <u>Bacterial Burden and Infection: The Story of Nerds and Stones</u> by Dr. Gary Sibbald et al.

# **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio Use and Application**

- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio is indicated and a suitable choice for a variety of chronic healable wounds
  - Venous, arterial, diabetic, surgical, trauma, and burns
- Apply IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio directly to the wound and cover the wound with any type of non-stick secondary dressing
  - Use a sterile applicator of your choice wooden depressor for example
  - Apply the gel to the thickness of two loonies and fill cavities if appropriate
  - Apply the gel to skin immediately around the wound
  - Can be applied to a secondary dressing, which is then applied to the wound and gently matted with gloved hand, to make sure the gel is in the wound
- IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio can be applied with dressing changes at frequency of daily to weekly
  - Higher frequency may increase efficacy and ought to be considered during treatment initiation
    - Suggest 3 times per week for the first two weeks
  - If the wound is not healing well, after 2 weeks determine why and adjust treatment plan accordingly (some common reasons: underlying cause, patient adherence, infection)
  - If the wound is healing well, consider extending dressing wear times up to once per week if appropriate

### **Practical Considerations – Formats and Choice**

#### IPM<sup>™</sup> WOUND GEL BIO IS SUPPLIED

#### In a Box containing four 10g tubes

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

•To prevent cross infection, a tube should be used with one patient only. As long as aseptic technique, with appropriate storage can be maintained, recapping of the tubes can be recommended. When using the 10g tubes, it may be a consideration to discard the remaining contents of the tube after application to further reduce contamination and infection risk.



# **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio**

Cost comparison per chronic wound treated vs. Promogran and Iodosorb

There are two wound sizes compared, 5 cm<sup>2</sup> and 10 cm<sup>2</sup>

Total cost of treatment includes price of the products and nursing cost to administer them



# Discounted IPM™ Wound Gel Bio Vs. Discounted Promogran & Iodosorb

Cost Comparison Assuming a 5 cm<sup>2</sup> Wound, Healing in 12 Weeks, used as directed, healing 30% every 4 weeks

Brand	Category	Packaging	Cost per	Cost per	Labelled	Number of	Product cost	Total Cost
		Format	unit	application	Dressing	applications	for 12 weeks	Nursing
				10 cm2	wear time	costs at \$50		Plus
				wound		per visit		Product
IPM™	<b>Bioactive HA</b>	4x10g	\$30 per	\$15	Higher	16	\$240 assuming	\$1040
Wound Gel	Inhibits	tubes	tube		frequency at	applications	3 applications	
Bio*	MMPs,				initiation and		for weeks 1&2,	
	angiogenesis,				then up to 7	\$800	and 1/week	
	granulation				days		thereafter	
Promogran	Collagen MMP neutralizer	10x11 cm sheet	\$15 per sheet	\$15	3 days	28 applications \$1400	\$420	\$1820
lodosorbΦ	Antibacterial Wound cleanser	4x10g tubes	\$16 per tube	\$16	3 times per week	36 applications \$1800	\$576	\$2376

\*Product leaflet recommends recapping as long as aseptic handling is maintained – 2 applications/tube  $\Phi$  Product leaflet recommends discarding tube after opening, therefore tubes are single application



# 5 cm<sup>2</sup> wounds

**Savings Relative to Promogran** 

Product cost savings: \$420 - \$240 = \$180 or 43% Nursing time savings \$1400-\$800 = \$600 or 42% (16 vs 28 applications)

Total savings: \$1820-\$1040 = **\$780 or 43%** <u>OR</u> **\$780K** For Every **1000** Ulcers Treated

Additional nursing time saved per application not included: Promogran needs to be cut into the shape and size of the wound. The gel is easier to apply taking less time.



## 5 cm<sup>2</sup> wounds

**Savings Relative to Iodosorb** 

Product cost savings: \$576-\$240 = \$333 or 58% Nursing time savings \$1800-\$800 = \$1000 or 56% (16 vs 36 applications)

Total savings: \$2376-\$1040 = **\$1336 or 56%** <u>OR</u> **\$1.3M** For Every **1000** Ulcers Treated

# Discounted IPM™ Wound Gel Bio Vs. Discounted Promogran & Iodosorb

Cost Comparison Assuming a 10 cm<sup>2</sup> Wound, Healing in 12 Weeks, used as directed, healing 30% every 4 weeks

Brand	Category	Packaging	Cost per	Cost per	Labelled	Number of	Product cost	Total Cost
		Format	unit	application	Dressing	applications	for 12 weeks	Nursing
				10 cm2	wear time	costs at \$50		Plus
				wound		per visit		Product
IPM™	Bioactive HA	4x10g	\$30 per	\$20	Higher	16	\$320 assuming	\$1120
Wound Gel	Inhibits	tubes	tube		frequency at	applications	3 applications	
Bio*	MMPs,				initiation and		for weeks 1&2,	
	angiogenesis,				then up to 7	\$800	and 1/week	
	granulation				days		thereafter	
Promogran	Collagen MMP neutralizer	10x11 cm sheet	\$15 per sheet	\$15	3 days	28 applications \$1400	\$420	\$1820
lodosorbΦ	Antibacterial Wound cleanser	4x10g tubes	\$16 per tube	\$16	3 times per week	36 applications \$1800	\$576	\$2376

\*Product leaflet recommends recapping as long as aseptic handling is maintained – 2 applications/tube  $\Phi$  Product leaflet recommends discarding tube after opening, therefore tubes are single application



# 10 cm<sup>2</sup> wounds

**Savings Relative to Promogran** 

Product cost savings: \$420 - \$320 = \$100 or 24% Nursing time savings \$1400-\$800 = \$600 or 42% (16 vs 28 applications)

Total savings: \$1820-\$1120 = **\$700 or 38%** <u>OR</u> **\$700K** For Every **1000** Ulcers Treated

Additional nursing time saved per application not included: Promogran needs to be cut into the shape and size of the wound. The gel is easier to apply taking less time.



# 10 cm<sup>2</sup> wounds

**Savings Relative to lodosorb** 

Product cost savings: 576-320 = 256 or 44%Nursing time savings 1800-800 = 1000 or 56%(16 vs 36 applications)

Total savings: \$2376-\$1120 = **\$1256 or 53%** <u>OR</u> **\$1.25M** For Every **1000** Ulcers Treated



# **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio**

Using IPM<sup>™</sup> Wound Gel Bio is cost effective vs both lodosorb and Promogran in both product and nursing time costs



# **IPM™ Wound Gel Bio**

Effective, safe, and easy to apply

Clients find is soothing on application

Cost effective vs alternatives

Less expensive as far as nursing times go resulting in significant savings

